Radiocommunication Study Groups



Source: Document 4-5-6-7/TEMP/153(edited)

Annex 20 to Document 4-5-6-7/715-E 18 August 2014 English only

Annex 20 to Joint Task Group 4-5-6-7 Chairman's Report

DRAFT NEW REPORT ITU-R F.[IMT-FS 5 925-6 425 MHz SHARING]

Sharing and compatibility study between indoor International Mobile Telecommunication small cells and fixed service stations in the 5 925-6 425 MHz frequency band

1 Introduction

The frequency band 5 925-6 425 MHz has been proposed as a possible candidate band for International Mobile Telecommunication (IMT) identification.

However this band is heavily used for point-to-point fixed service (FS) links. The objective is to study the sharing and compatibility between indoor IMT small cells and FS stations. The study considers only the impact of interference from IMT indoor small cells into point-to-point FS station receivers.

2 Background

The frequency band 5 925-6 425 MHz is already allocated to the mobile service (MS) on a primary basis worldwide. However identification of this frequency band for IMT will significantly change the usage of the band which requires the coexistence studies with other incumbent services. The main services deployed in this band are FS and fixed-satellite service (FSS) (Earth-to-space).

Point-to-point FS links in this frequency band are heavily used for cellular network backhaul in many countries. The use of point-to-point FS links in the 5 925-6 425 MHz band is relevant for rural areas as well as for urban environment, which requires consideration of different sharing scenarios. It is assumed in the study that IMT systems are to be deployed only as indoor small cells, which is required to ensure coexistence with FSS space stations, receiving in the band

5 925-6 425 MHz.

Deliverables describing typical deployment of FS stations in the 5 925-6 425 MHz band and relevant for the assessment of interference are:

- Report ITU-R F.2240 "Interference analysis modelling for sharing between HAPS gateway links in the fixed service and other systems/services in the range 5 850-7 075 MHz".
- Recommendation ITU-R F.758 "System parameters and considerations in the development of criteria for sharing or compatibility between digital fixed wireless systems in the fixed service and systems in other services and other sources of interference".

- 2 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

3 Technical characteristics

3.1 IMT systems characteristics and assumptions

Considering the rather high frequency of the frequency band 5 925-6 425 MHz, it was assumed that the IMT systems would most likely be deployed in dense urban areas and mainly indoor as pico and femto cells with wideband channels and high data rate. It was also assumed that the frequency band 5 925-6 425 MHz would be used as a separate level of coverage without macro cells, making the time division duplex more advantageous for such IMT systems. Taking this into account the calculations consider only small base stations emitting up to 100% of time. Subscriber stations, which are generally low power and power controlled, were not considered. Parameters for small base stations used in the calculations are given in Table 1 below.

TABLE 1

Deployment-related parameters

| Base station characteristics / Cell structure | Small cell indoor |
|---|--|
| Cell radius / Deployment density | Depending on indoor coverage/capacity demand |
| Antenna height | 3 m |
| Sectorization | Single sector |
| Downtilt | n.a. |
| Frequency reuse | 1 |
| Antenna pattern | Recommendation ITU-R F.1336 omni (Fig. 3.1, 3.1.2) |
| Antenna polarization | Linear |
| Indoor base station deployment | 100 % |
| Indoor base station penetration loss | 20 dB ¹ |
| Below rooftop base station antenna deployment | n.a. |
| Feeder loss | n.a |
| Maximum base station output power (20 MHz) | 24 dBm and 30 dBm ² |
| Channel bandwith | 20 MHz |
| Transmitter spectrum mask | Table 3.2.1, Fig. 3.1.3 |
| Base station characteristics / Cell structure | Small cell indoor |
| Maximum base station antenna gain | 0 dBi |
| Maximum base station output power (e.i.r.p.) | 24 dBm and 30 dBm |
| Average base station activity | 50 % |
| Average base station power/sector (to be used in sharing studies) | 21 dBm and 27 dBm |

FIGURE 1

¹ Typical value for indoor base station penetration loss is described as 25 dB for the horizontal direction in the band 5-6 GHz, however 20 dB is used for interference assessment.

² 30 dBm value is added as an alternative value. Due to assumed 100 MHz channel higher power might be necessary to provide similar power spectrum density as within 20 MHz channel.





Antenna radiation pattern IMT base station system for azimuth angles



Antenna radiation pattern IMT base station system for elevation angles



18.08.14





Transmitter spectrum mask IMT Systems3

| | | Band | Channel Separatio | n Tr | Transmitter spectrum mask IMT Systems | | | | | | |
|----------|------|---------|----------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| | | MHz MHz | | | | | | | | | |
| 005 (105 | 20.0 | | ∭(MHz) | -20.05 | -15.05 | -10.05 | -10.00 | 10.00 | 10.05 | 15.05 | 20.0 |
| 925-6425 | 20.0 | | a _i (dB) | 48.00 | 48.00 | 40.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.93 | 48.00 | 48.0 |



3.2 FS stations characteristics and assumptions

The technical characteristics of the point-to-point FS links were derived from Recommendation ITU-R F.758-5 and Report ITU-R F.2240 and are summarized in Table 3.

³ The data are taken from Table 6.6.3.2A-3 3GPP TS 36.104 V12.2.0 (2013-12).

- 5 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

TABLE 3

System parameters for PP FS systems

| Frequency range (GHz) | 5.925-6.425 | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Type 1 | Type 2 | | | |
| Reference | Rec. ITU-R F.383 (Fig. 3.2.4, 3.2.5) | | | | |
| Modulation | 64-QAM | 128-QAM | | | |
| Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz) | 40 | 29.65 | | | |
| | - 82.0 | - 112 | | | |
| 1x output power range (dB w) | (Mode -4) | (Mode -3) | | | |
| Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) | - 24 14.0 | - 25.7 9.7 | | | |
| Feeder/multipleure loss mane (dD) | 2.55.6 | 1.13 | | | |
| reeden/multiplexer loss range (dB) | (Mode 3.4) | (Mode 1.3) | | | |
| Antenno min marca (JDi) | 38.145.0 | 38.746.6 | | | |
| Antenna gain range (dB1) | (Mode 38) | (Mode 45) | | | |
| Antonno pettorn | Rec. ITU-R F.1245 | Dec. ITU-D E 1245 (Eig. 2.2.1) | | | |
| Anenia pateri | (Fig. 3.2.1) | Ket. 110-K 1.1245 (Fig. 5.2.1) | | | |
| Antenna height (m) | 15110 | 15110 | | | |
| Antenna neight (m) | (Mode 55) | (Mode 55) | | | |
| airp range (dPW) | 20.637.5 | 25.745.9 | | | |
| e.i.i.p. range (us w) | (Mode 30.6) | (Mode 40.7) | | | |
| o i r p. dencity range (dDW/MUz) | 4.621.5 | 10.931.1 | | | |
| chilp, denský range (db w/wiliz) | (Mode 14.3) | (Mode 26.9) | | | |
| Receiver noise figure typical (dB) | 5 | 4 | | | |
| Receiver selectivity masks | Table 3.2.2, Fig.3.2.4 | Table 3.2.2, Fig.3.2.3 | | | |
| Receiver noise power density typical (= N_{RX}) (dBW/MHz) | - 139 | - 140 | | | |
| Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz) | - 112.5 | - 110.5 | | | |
| Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) | - 139 + I/N | - 140 + <i>I/N</i> | | | |
| Protection criteria | I/N=-10 | I/N=-10 | | | |

- 6 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

| | 1080 | 1080 |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Link Lengin (km) | (Mode 40) | (Mode 40) |





- 7 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

TABLE 4

Receiver selectivity masks PP FS Systems⁴

| Band | Netto- Bitrate | Channel Separation | | Receiver selectivity masks PP FS System | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|----|
| MHz | (Mbit/s) | MHz | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5925-6425 155 | | | Δf_i (MHz) | -26.45833 | -14.76264 | -14.632 | -14.10943 | -13.06429 | -11.36593 | 11.36593 | 13.06429 | 14.10943 | 14.632 | 14.76264 | 26.45833 | |
| | | 28.0 30 | 28.0 30.0 | a _i (dB) | 67 | 47 | 23.4 | 10.5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10.5 | 23.4 | 47 | 67 |
| | 155 | | Δf_i (MHz) | -31.31818 | -21.85500 | -20.925 | -19.22000 | -15.50000 | -9.14500 | 9.14500 | 15.50000 | 19.22000 | 20.925 | 21.85500 | 31.31818 | |
| | | 40.0 | a _i (dB) | 63 | 46 | 17.8 | 9.9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9.9 | 17.8 | 46 | 63 | |

 ⁴ All values are calculated according the method in ETSI TR 101 854 Annex F.
 The data are taken from ETSI EN 302 217-2-2 V1.4.1 and Appendix 12 to Annex 2B HCM Agreement 2013.

- 8 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

FIGURE 5

Receiver selectivity masks PP FS Systems (Channel Separation 29.65 MHz)



FIGURE 6

Receiver selectivity masks PP FS Systems (Channel Separation 40 MHz)



29.65 MHz radio-frequency co-channel arrangement for fixed wireless systems operating in the 6 GHz band⁵.

⁵ Recommendation ITU-R F.383.

N:\DOCS FOR A.I. 1.1\R12-JTG4567-C-0715!N20!MSW-E.DOCX

- 9 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

Let

- f_0 be the frequency (MHz) of the centre of the band of frequencies occupied, $f_0 = 6175$;
- f_n be the centre frequency (MHz) of one RF channel in the lower half of the band;

 f'_n be the centre frequency (MHz) of one RF channel in the upper half of the band;

then the frequencies of individual channels are expressed by the following relationships:

| lower half of the band: | $f_n = f_0 - 259.45 + 29.65 n$ | MHz |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| upper half of the band: | $f'_n = f_0 - 7.41 + 29.65 n$ | MHz |

where:

n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 or 8;

FIGURE 7

29.65 MHz radio-frequency co-channel arrangement for fixed wireless systems operating in the 6 GHz band for use in international connections



40 MHz radio-frequency channel arrangement for radio-relay systems operating in the lower 6 GHz band 6

Let

- f_0 be the frequency (MHz) of the centre of the band of frequencies occupied, $f_0 = 6175$;
- f_n be the centre frequency (MHz) of one RF channel in the lower half of the band;
- f'_n be the centre frequency (MHz) of one RF channel in the upper half of the band;

then the frequencies of individual channels are expressed by the following relationships:

| lower half of the band: f_n | $= f_0 - 260 + 40 n$ | MHz |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----|
| upper half of the band: f_n^\prime | $= f_0 - 20 + 40 n$ | MHz |

where:

⁶ Recommendation ITU-R F.383.

- 10 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

FIGURE 8

40 MHz radio-frequency channel arrangement for radio-relay systems operating in the lower 6 GHz band

(All frequencies in MHz)



4 Analysis

4.1 Assumptions

The studies and calculations are proposed to be performed for IMT urban environment scenario. However the IMT base stations may be deployed only indoor and located at different building floors. point-to-point FS antennas are generally mounted on the masts with heights exceeding the level of surrounding urban area. For this scenario, alongside with indoor-to-outdoor penetration losses of interference from IMT base station transmitters to point-to-point FS receivers and main lobe orientation of point-to-point FS station antenna in respect to IMT base station locations, additional diffraction interference losses are possible due to clutter from local obstacles surrounding IMT base station transmitters.

The option of deployment for point-to-point FS stations and IMT stations under the above scenario is shown in Fig. 9.



4.2 Methodology

4.2.1 Propagation models

f:

The propagation model is from Recommendation ITU-R P.452-14.

Basic transmission loss is from Recommendation ITU-R P.452-14 as follows:

 $L_{\text{prop}} (d) = 92.5 + 20 \log f + 20 \log d + L_{d50} + A_{hTx} + A_{hRx}, dB$

where:

d: path length (km);

 L_{d50} : the median diffraction loss (dB):

where:

- L_{m50} : the median knife-edge diffraction loss for the main edge (dB);
- L_{t50} : the median knife-edge diffraction loss for the transmitter-side secondary edge (dB);
- $L_{r50} \!\!\!: \mbox{ the median knife-edge diffraction loss for the receiver-side secondary edge (dB); }$
- ν_{m50} : the diffraction parameter of the main edge (dB);
- A_{hTx:} the additional losses to account for clutter shielding the transmitter (dB);
- A_{hRx:} the additional losses to account for clutter shielding the receiver (dB).

The additional loss due to protection from local clutter is given by the expression:

$$A_{hTx(hRx)} = 10.25 \ F_{fc} \cdot e^{-d_k} \left(1 - \tanh\left[6 \left(\frac{h_{IMT}}{h_a} - 0.625 \right) \right] \right) - 0.33, \, dB$$

where:

$$F_{fc} = 0.25 + 0.375 \{1 + \tanh[7.5(f - 0.5)]\}$$

and:

d_k: distance (km) from nominal clutter point to the antenna;

h_{IMT}: antenna height (m) above local ground level;

h_a: nominal clutter height (m) above local ground level.

Additional losses due to shielding by clutter (ground cover) should not be claimed for categories not appearing in Table 5.

| TABLE 5 | |
|---------|--|
|---------|--|

Nominal clutter heights and distances

| Clutter (mound sever) esterory | Nominal height, h _a | Nominal distance, d_k |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Clutter (ground-cover) category | (m) | (km) |
| Urban | 20 | 0.02 |
| Dense urban | 25 | 0.02 |
| High-rise urban | 35 | 0.02 |
| Industrial zone | 20 | 0.05 |

The additional loss due to protection from local clutter is given in the Fig. 10.



N:\DOCS FOR A.I. 1.1\R12-JTG4567-C-0715!N20!MSW-E.DOCX

18.08.14

- 13 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

4.2.2 Methodology of calculations

4.2.2.1 Baseline criteria I/N

The methodology envisages several protection criteria for point-to-point FS stations from interfering IMT base station stations.

I/N criterion is reasonable during protection assessment of FS stations operating under conditions of wanted signal reception with the level close to normal input signal, considering the required fading margin. Such conditions are characteristic for FS networks with long hops, and also when using FS stations with the basic parameters reduced: e.i.r.p. of station, antenna gain, receiver noise figure and antenna-feeder loss.

The interferer level I(dBW) is calculated by assessing the level of emissions from the interferer falling within the interfered receiver point-to-point FS systems bandwidth:

 $I = P_{_{Tx}} - a_{_{Tx}} + G_{_{Tx}} - L_{_{prop}}(d_{_{IMT-FS}}) + G_{_{Rx}} - a_{_{Rx}} - a_{_{ant}}(\Delta az) - (MD + NFD(\Delta f)) - a_{_{indoor}}, \qquad dBW$

where:

| I (dBW) : | the interfering power level the input of the interfered receiver point-to-point FS system; |
|--------------------------|--|
| P_{Tx} (dBW) : | transmitter power level interfering transmitter base station IMT system; |
| $G_{T}(dB)$: | transmitter antenna gain interfering transmitter base station IMT system; |
| $a_{Tx} (dB)$: | all losses between the antenna flange and the output of the interfering transmitter base station IMT system; |
| $G_{R}(dB)$: | receiver antenna gain interfered receiver point-to-point FS system; |
| $a_{Rx} (dB)$: | all losses between the antenna flange and the input of the interfered receiver point-to-point FS system; |
| MD (dB) : | Masks Discrimination ⁷ ; |
| NFD(Δf) (dB) : | Net Filter Discrimination ⁸ ; |
| | |

where:

 $\Delta f = f_{Tx} - f_{Rx};$

- f_{Tx} : interferer timed frequency;
- f_{Rx} : receiver tuned frequency;

$$MD = 10 \log \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} P(f) df}{\int_{0}^{\infty} P(f) |H(f)|^2 df}$$
$$NFD(\Delta f) = 10 \log \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} P(f) |H(f)|^2 df}{\int_{0}^{\infty} P(f) |H(f + \Delta f)|^2 df}$$

⁷ Reommendation ITU-R P 377-6.

⁸ Recommendation ITU-R P 377-6.

N:\DOCS FOR A.I. 1.1\R12-JTG4567-C-0715!N20!MSW-E.DOCX

- 14 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

where:

- P(f): transmitter spectrum mask base station IMT system;
- H(f): receiver selectivity mask point-to-point FS system;
- $L_{prop}(d_{FS-IMT}) \ (dB): \ propagation \ attenuation \ between \ antennas \ interfered \ receiver \ point-to-point \ FS \ system \ and \ interfering \ transmitter \ base \ station \ IMT \ system \ (Recommendation \ ITU-R \ P.452-14);$
 - d_{FS-IMT}: distance between the interfering transmitter base station IMT system and the interfered receiver point-to-point FS system;
- $a_{ant}(\Delta_{az\ FS-IMT})\ (dB): \ \ attenuation\ which is a function\ of angle between the main axis of the point-to-point\ FS\ system\ and\ the\ axis between the base station\ IMT\ system\ and\ the\ point-to-point\ FS\ system\ \Delta_{az\ FS-IMT};$
 - a_{indoor} (dB): indoor-to-outdoor penetration loss (Fig. 10, Rec. ITU-R P.1238).

FIGURE 11 Attenuation (MD+NFD)



According to Recommendation ITU-R V.573, noise level of the interfered receiver point-to-point FS system N can be determined by:

$N = 10 \log(kT_0B) + NF, dBW$

where:

- k : Boltzmann's constant (1.3806488 × 10^{-23} J K⁻¹);
- T_0 : Reference temperature (290 K);
- B: noise equivalent bandwidth of receiver (Hz);
- NF: receiver noise figure (dB).

- 15 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

4.2.2.2 Additional consideration based on C/I ratio

When detailed information on point-to-point links deployment is available more careful planning of IMT systems could be performed to provide coexistence in same geographical area which could reduce separation distances. In this case C/I ratio could increase the potential for sharing between indoor IMT small cells and fixed stations.

 $C = P_{point-to-point FS Tx} - a_{point-to-point FS Tx} + G_{max, point-to-point FS Rx} - L_{prov}(Link Length) + G_{max, point-to-point FS Rx} - a_{point-to-point FS Rx}, dBW$

The power of the point-to-point FS system carrier can be calculated by using the following equation:

| C: | power of the point-to-point FS system carrier; |
|---|---|
| P _{point-to-point FS Tx} (dBW): | transmitter power level point-to-point FS system; |
| Gmax $_{\text{point-to-point FS Tx}}$ (dB) : | transmitter maximum antenna gain point-to-point FS system; |
| $a_{point-to-point FS Tx} (dB)$: | all losses between the antenna flange and the output of the transmitter point-to-point FS system; |
| G_{max} point-to-point FS $_{Rx}\left(dB\right)$: | receiver maximum antenna gain point-to-point FS system; |
| $a_{\text{point-to-point FS}Rx}$ (dB) : | all losses between the antenna flange and the input of the receiver point-to-point FS system; |
| L _{prop} (Link Length): | propagation attenuation between antennas transmitter and receiver point-to-point FS system (ITU-R P.525, Rec. ITU-R P.530); |
| Link Length (km): | link length point-to-point FS system; |
| L _{prop} (Link Length) = | $92.5 + 20 \log f + 20 \log(Link Length);$ |
| | |

where:

where:

f: frequency (GHz).

4.2.2.3 Baseline case #1 ((I/N)_{required})

The method consists in calculating the resulting (I/N) and then comparing it with the required $(I/N)_{required}$ at the interfered receiver point-to-point FS systems ($(I/N)_{required} = -10$ dB).

 $(I/N)_{required} = [P_{Tx} - a_{Tx} + G_{Tx} - L_{prop}(d_{IMT-FS}) + G_{Rx} - a_{Rx} - a_{ant}(\Delta az) - (MD + NFD(\Delta f)) - (MD + NFD(\Delta f)$

- $a_{indoor]}$ - [10log(kT₀B)+NF].

- 16 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

4.2.2.4 Additional case #2 ((C/I)_{required})

The method consists in calculating the resulting (C/I) and then comparing it with the required $(C/I)_{required}$ at the interfered receiver point-to-point FS systems ((C/I)_{required} =-55 dB and

 $(C/I)_{required} = -40 \text{ dB}).$

(C/I)_{required} = [P_{point-to-point FS Tx} - a point-to-point FS Tx + G_{max} point-to-point FS Rx - L_{prop}(Link Length) + G_{max} point-to-point FS Rx - a point-to-point FS Rx]-

 $-[P_{Tx} - a_{Tx} + G_{Tx} - L_{prop}(d_{IMT-FS}) + G_{Rx} - a_{Rx} - a_{ant}(\Delta az) - (MD + NFD(\Delta f)) - a_{indoor}]$

4.3 Calculations

4.3.1 Case #1 ((I/N)_{required}= -10 dB)

The results of the required distance between the interfering transmitter base station IMT systems and the interfered receiver point-to-point FS systems d _{FS-MT} which is a function of angle between the main axis of the point-to-point FS system and the axis between the base station IMT system and the point-to-point FS system $\Delta_{axFS-MT}$ are provided in the Figures 12 - 15. (A_{hTx} = 0, 10, 19 dB; Co-channel (MD+NFD(Δf) = 0 dB, First adjacent channel (MD+NFD(Δf) = 45 dB).

FIGURE 12







- 18 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E



Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman Bold, 10 pt, Font color: Text 1, Complex Script Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Bold, Font color: Text 1, Complex Script Font: 12 pt



FIGURE 15

- 19 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E



4.3.1.1 Case #2.1 ((C/I)_{required}=55 dB)

The results of the required distance between the interfering transmitter base station IMT systems and the interfered receiver point-to-point FS systems d _{FS-MT} which is a function of angle between the main axis of the point-to-point FS system and the axis between the base station IMT system and the point-to-point FS system $\Delta_{axFS-MT}$ are provided in the Figures 16-27. (Link Length = 10, 30, 50, 70 km; $A_{hTx} = 0$, 10, 19 dB; Co-channel (MD+NFD(Δf) = 0 dB, First adjacent channel (MD+NFD(Δf) = 45 dB)

FIGURE 16

 (C/I) registed = 55 dB; Channel Separation 40 MHz; Co-channel (MD-NFD(Δf) = 0 dB); a_{mdor} = 25 dB; AhTx = 0 dB

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 10

 11

 Δaz_{FS-IMP} degree10

Required d _{FS-IMT} which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az FS-IMT}$ (PP FS System type 1)

FIGURE 17



- 21 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E







- 23 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

FIGURE 20

Required d $_{\text{FS-IMT}}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,\,\text{FS-IMT}}$ (PP FS System type 1)





FIGURE 21



Required d $_{FS\text{-IMT}}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,FS\text{-IMT}}$ (PP FS System type 1)



- 25 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

FIGURE 22

Required d $_{\rm FS-IMT}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{\rm az\,FS-IMT}$ (PP FS System type 2)





Required d $_{\text{FS-IMT}}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{\text{az FS-IMT}}$ (PP FS System type 2)



Formatted: Font color: Text 1, Complex Script Font: 12 pt

N:\DOCS FOR A.I. 1.1\R12-JTG4567-C-0715!N20!MSW-E.DOCX

18.08.14



FIGURE 24

Required d $_{\text{FS-IMT}}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{\text{az FS-IMT}}$ (PP FS System type 2)





Required d $_{\text{FS-IMT}}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{\text{az FS-IMT}}$ (PP FS System type 2)





18.08.14

- 28 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

FIGURE 27

Required d $_{\rm FS-IMT}$ which is a function of angle Δ_{az} $_{\rm FS-IMT}$ (PP FS System type 2)



4.3.1.2 Case #2.2 ((C/I)_{required} = 40 dB)

The results of the required distance between the interfering transmitter base station IMT systems and the interfered receiver point-to-point FS systems d _{FS-bAT} which is a function of angle between the main axis of the point-to-point FS system and the axis between the base station IMT system and the point-to-point FS system $\Delta_{\mu FS-bMT}$ are provided in the Figures 28-39. (Link Length = 10, 30, 50, 70 km; $A_{\mu TX} = 0, 10, 19 \text{ dB}$; Co-channel (MD+NFD(Δ D+NFD(1 ((First adjacent channel (MD+NFD(Δ D+NFD(djac).

FIGURE 28





FIGURE 29





FIGURE 30

Required d $_{FS\text{-IMT}}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,FS\text{-IMT}}$ (PP FS System type 1)



FIGURE 31

- 30 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E



Required d $_{FS-IMT}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,FS-IMT}$ (PP FS System type 1)



Required d $_{FS\text{-}IMT}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,FS\text{-}IMT}$ (PP FS System type 1)



FIGURE 33

- 31 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E



Required d $_{FS-IMT}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,FS-IMT}$ (PP FS System type 1)

FIGURE 34

Required d $_{FS\text{-}IMT}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,FS\text{-}IMT}$ (PP FS System type 2)



FIGURE 35

- 32 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E



Required d $_{FS-IMT}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,FS-IMT}$ (PP FS System type 2)

FIGURE 36

Required d $_{FS\text{-}IMT}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,FS\text{-}IMT}$ (PP FS System type 2)



FIGURE 37

- 33 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E



Required d $_{\text{FS-IMT}}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{\text{az FS-IMT}}$ (PP FS System type 2)



Required d $_{FS\text{-}IMT}$ which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az\,FS\text{-}IMT}$ (PP FS System type 2)



FIGURE 39





Required d _{FS-IMT} which is a function of angle $\Delta_{az FS-IMT}$ (PP FS System type 2)

4.4 Results

The calculation results derived from Figs. 12 and 14 show that the permissible I/N = -10 dB with IMT transmitters operating in co-channels with point-to-point FS receivers could be reached starting from 20-200 metres distances in most directions, except for the main and first side lobes of antenna pattern. In the main lobe direction of antenna pattern this distance corresponds to 8-50 kilometres, depending on the value of additional losses due to local clutter shielding which will be present in IMT urban environment. With increased angle between the main lobes of the point-to-point FS antenna pattern and IMT base station direction, this distance is reduced down to 20-200 metres.

The permissible I/N = -10 dB for IMT transmitters operating in adjacent channels with point-to-point FS receivers would be met at 700 metres or less for all location conditions of the stations (Figs. 13 and 15).

The additional calculation results derived from Figs. 16, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26 show that the permissible C/I= 55 dB for IMT transmitters operating co-channel with point-to-point FS receivers could be reached for link distances of a point-to-point FS network from 10 to 70 kilometres starting from 20-150 metre distances in most directions, except for the main and first side lobes of antenna pattern. In the main lobe direction of the antenna pattern these distances may be 0.4-35 kilometres, depending on the link distance of the point-to-point FS network and the value of additional losses due to clutter shielding. With increased angle between the main lobes of the point-to-point FS antenna pattern and IMT base station direction, this distance rapidly reduces down to 20-150 metres.

The permissible C/I= 55 dB for IMT transmitters operating in adjacent channels with point-to-point FS receivers would be met starting from 200 metres for all co-location conditions of the stations (Figs. 17, 19, 21, 23, 25 and 27).

The additional calculation results derived from Figs. 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 show that the permissible C/I=40 dB for IMT transmitters operating co-channel with point-to-point FS receivers

- 35 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

could be reached for link distances of a point-to-point FS network from 10 to 70 kilometres starting from 20-60 metres in most directions, except for the main and first side lobes of the antenna pattern. In the main lobe direction of the antenna pattern these distances may be 0.2-6 kilometres, depending on the link distance of the point-to-point FS network and the value of additional losses due to clutter shielding. With increased angle between the main lobes of point-to-point FS antenna pattern and IMT base station direction, this distance rapidly reduces down to 20-40 metres.

The permissible C/I= 40 dB for IMT transmitters operating in adjacent channels with point-to-point FS receivers would be met starting from 40 metres for all co-location conditions of the stations (Figs. 29, 31, 33, 35, 37 and 39).

5 Summary

different values.

The results of the studies showed that the permissible I/N = -10 dB could be reached in the presence of indoor IMT small cells operating co-channel with point-to-point FS receivers at distances of 20-200 metres in most directions, except for the main and first side lobes of the antenna pattern. In the main lobe direction of the antenna pattern this distance corresponds to 8-50 kilometres, depending on the value of additional losses due to local clutter shielding, which will be present in an IMT urban environment. The likelihood of an IMT small cell lying within the main or first side lobes of a point-to-point antenna pattern hasn't been studied. These results are derived based on a single interferer and do not consider the cumulative effect, which could lead to

- 36 -4-5-6-7/715 (Annex 20)-E

When detailed information on point-to-point link deployment is available, more detailed planning of IMT systems could be performed to reduce the separation distances mentioned above.

The calculated separation distances are going to be dependent on a number of local considerations including deployment and geographical distribution of both IMT and FS stations at national level and may require coordination with neighbouring administrations.